Received: 23 Jan 2023 | Accepted: 19 July 2023

DOI: 10.54005/geneltip.1240837

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Examining Leisure Management Skills of Medical Faculty Students Tıp Fakültesi Öğrencilerinin Boş Zaman Yönetim Becerilerinin İncelenmesi

¹Abdullah Kayhan ⁽¹⁾, ²Semih Karaman ⁽¹⁾, ³Mehmet Demirel ⁽¹⁾, ⁴İnci Kara ⁽¹⁾

¹Akdeniz University, Department of Recreation, Antalya, Türkiye ²Abant İzzet Baysal Department of Psychosocial Fields in Sports, Bolu, Türkiye University, ³Necmettin Erbakan Department of Recreation Management, Konya, Türkiye ⁴Selçuk University, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Konya, Türkiye

Correspondence

Abdullah Kayhan, Akdeniz University of Sport Sciences, 07070 Konyaaltı/Antalya/Türkiye

E-Mail: abdullah.kayhan.5@gmail.com

NOTE: This study was presented as an oral presentation at the 3rd International Recreation and Sports Management Congress, 16-19 May 2022, Antalya.

How to cite?

Kayhan A, Karaman S, Demirel M, Kara i. Examining Leisure Management Skills of Medical Faculty Students. Genel Tip Derg. 2023;33(6):664-9.

ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of the study was to examine the free time management skills of medical school students, who were thought to be in great need of good leisure time management.

Methods: The sample of the study consisted of a total of 327 students studying at Konya Selcuk University Faculty of Medicine. A sociodemographic data form and the Leisure Time Management Scale (LTMS) consisting of 15 questions were applied to the participants. The results were evaluated at p<0.05 significance level.

Results: As a result of the analysis, it was seen that the free time management scores of the medical students were at a moderate level. In the gander veriable, statistically cignificant differences were

students were at a moderate level. In the gender variable, statistically significant differences were determined according to daily, weekly, monthly, and annual plans for leisure time and having a regular activity schedule (p<0.05), while no significant difference was found in terms of the age variable (p>0.05).

Conclusion: It has been observed that medical students lack sufficient knowledge about how to spend their leisure time effectively, and their participation in regular activities or events is occasional. The main reason this participation is rare is that they have too many school subjects.

Keywords: Leisure, Leisure Time Management, Medical Students, Recreation

Amaç: Bu araştırmada, iyi bir boş zaman yönetimine daha çok ihtiyaçları olduğu düşünülen tıp fakültesi öğrencilerinin boş zaman yönetim becerilerini incelemek amaçlanmıştır.
Yöntem: Çalışmanın örneklemini Konya Selçuk Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi'nde öğrenim gören toplam 327 öğrenci oluşturmuştur. Katılımcılara sosyodemografik veri formu ve 15 sorudan oluşan Boş zaman Yönetimi Ölçeği (BZYÖ) uygulanmıştır. Sonuçlar p<0.05 anlamlılık düzeyinde değerlendirildi. Bulgular: Yapılan analizler sonucunda tıp öğrencilerinin boş zaman yönetim puanlarının orta düzeyde olduğu görülmüştür. Cinsiyet değişkeninde, boş zamanları için günlük, haftalık, aylık, yılık planları ve düzenli bir aktivite programları olma durumuna göre istatistiksel olarak anlamlı farklılıklar tespit edilirken (p<0.05), yaş değişkenine göre anlamlı farklılık tespit edilmemiştir (p>0.05).
Sonuç: Tıp öğrencilerinin boş zamanlarını etkin bir şekilde nasıl yönetecekleri konusunda yeterli bilgiye sahip olmadıkları, boş zamanlarında düzenli aktivite veya etkinliklere katılımlarının az olduğu ve bu katılımın en çok etkileyen sebebin okul dersleri olduğu görülmüştür.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Boş Zaman, Boş Zaman Yönetimi, Tıp Öğrencileri, Rekreasyon

Introduction

Scientific and technological developments in the is classified as non-work time is when some actions are biological requirements and leisure (3). Leisure which tend to engage in various leisure time activities in order

world are driving individuals to work intensely and not obligatory, and individuals have the right to choose compete with time in order to have more comfortable freely and use as they wish (4). If individuals can perform living conditions economically, socially and culturally. the actions they want in their leisure without worrying Individuals who want to win this race are conscious about working and enjoying these activities, they will that they need to use their precious time in a planned be able to perform leisure activities (5). In this way, and programmed manner instead of using it lavishly. individuals will benefit from the physical and mental Because along with modernization, the concept of benefits of leisure activities (6). Due to the increasing time has also changed and has become systematic importance given to leisure time in society, the concept (1). Time has been identified as an uninterrupted of leisure management should be kept separate from process in which events are transmitted from the past time management. Although leisure management is to the present and from the present to the future by not a complete literature definition, it can be said that succession (2). The concept of time is examined in it is a process that allows the planning, programming two main factors in the literature: working (profession) and effective organization of activities or events that time and non-working time. Non-working time is can be done in leisure time by considering the features comprised of time allocated for physiological and and structure of the concept of leisure (7). Individuals

Peer-Review: Double anonymized - Two External Plagiarism Checks: Yes - iThenticate Complaints: geneltip@selcuk.edu.tr

Copyright & License: Authors publishing with the journal retain the copyright to their work licensed under the CC BY-NC 4.0



to relieve their feelings of boredom and stress in their daily life. Spending these leisure activities effectively and efficiently is possible with the proper planning of leisure management functions (8). Proper use of the necessary plans and programs, and spending leisure time effectively and efficiently will ensure a healthier biological, psychological and social life and increase the quality of life (9, 10). Therefore, it is necessary for individuals to manage this time as well as possible to provide opportunities to achieve their goals and find happiness, prosperity and satisfaction (1).

It is known that university students who can spend and manage their leisure time more effectively have a higher academic achievement (11, 12). However, university students are at the forefront of those who do not manage their leisure time effectively (13). The lack of leisure time management skills of the medical faculty students draws attention among the university students who are unsuccessful in leisure time management due to their place of residence, income level, education level, age and course intensity. Furthermore, the lack of leisure management of medical school students, who will serve in this field as health workers in the future, adversely affects their health status by preventing them from participating in physical activities in their private lives (14). In addition, the burden on health workers and medical students has increased and their leisure has decreased further during the Covid-19 pandemic, which has taken the whole world under its influence and still continues; thus, leisure management has become even more important to ensure both physical and psychological well-being. The fact that the responsibilities of medical students, such as lessons, exams and internships, are more intense than the students in other departments may also negatively affect their leisure time attitudes. This situation shows the importance and necessity of effective leisure time management. In the relevant literature, studies involving university students were conducted regardless of the department (12, 15-18). However, it has been observed that studies on medical students, who are thought to have more difficulties in leisure management than other department students and need proper leisure management, are insufficient. In this direction, it is aimed to examine the leisure time management skills of medical faculty students in terms of various variables.

Material and Method

The research was carried out with a descriptive survey model, one of the quantitative research methods, in order to examine the leisure time management of students studying at Konya Selçuk University Faculty of Medicine. The participants were determined by the appropriate sampling method, considering the pandemic conditions, time and expense factors. 327 students studying at Selçuk University Faculty of Medicine participated in the research voluntarily in the 2021-2022 academic year.

Before the study, approval was obtained from the Selçuk University Faculty of Medicine Local Ethics Committee (with the decision number of 2021/461) and the Dean's Office of the Selçuk University Faculty of Medicine, where the research was conducted, and it was carried out following the Declaration of Helsinki.

A socio-demographic data form and the Leisure Time Management Scale (LTMS) consisting of 15 questions were administered to all participants with the principle of voluntary participation.

Data Collection Tools

The data were collected face-to-face and online using the questionnaire technique. A Google Form questionnaire was used for the data collected online. Socio-demographic data form: The participants, determined by expert opinion and literature review, in line with the purpose of the research; A socio-demographic data form was applied including questions such as age, gender, marital status, which grade they attended, income status, whether they had enough free time, whether daily, weekly, monthly, annual plans were made, what the frequency of procrastination was, and what the reasons for not finding leisure time were.

Leisure Time Management Scale (LTMS): In the evaluation of the leisure time management of the participants, the Leisure Time Management Scale (LTMS) developed by Wang et al. (2011) (19) and adapted into Turkish by Akgül and Karakucuk (2015) (7) was used. The scale, which consists of 15 items, has a 5-point Likert type (1= Strongly Disagree, 5= Completely Agree). The measurement tool consists of four sub-dimensions: "Goal Setting and Method, Evaluation, Leisure Attitude and Programming".

In the Turkish validity and reliability study of the scale, the Cronbach's Alpha value was found as .83, and the test-retest reliability was found to be .86. Its sub-dimensions, on the other hand, have internal consistency coefficients ranging between .71 and .81. In line with these results, researchers have stated that the scale is a valid and reliable measurement tool in examining leisure management (10). The Cronbach's Alpha value of the scale used in our study was .76.

Statistical Analysis

SPSS 26.0 package program was used to analyze the data obtained in the study. Descriptive statistics were made to determine the distribution of socio-demographic information of the participants. For the assumption of normality distribution of the data set, kurtosis and skewness values were examined and found between ±1.5 (24). Although the given distribution was standard, it was observed that the variances were not homogeneous in the homogeneity test (Levene's Test). Therefore, Spearman Correlation and Mann-Whitney U tests were preferred in non-parametric tests for the statistical analysis of various variables.

Results

Table 1: Descriptive statistical distributions of medical students

Female	VARIABLES		f	%
Male	Condon	Female	181	55.4
Single 324 99.1 Married 3 0.9 Low 94 28.7 Income Statue Middle 226 69.1 High 7 2.1 1st Grade 71 21.7 2st Grade 104 31.8 3st Grade 51 15.6 4st Grade 51 15.6 4st Grade 57 17.4 6st Grade 57 17.4 6st Grade 13 4.0 Do you have Daily/Weekly/ Monthly/Yearly Plans for your leisure? Yes 86 26.3 Do you have a regular Yes 59 18 Activity/Activity schedule for your leisure? No 241 73.7 No 268 82 Never 6 1.18 Rarely 64 19.6 Occasionally 153 46.8 What is the frequency of your work or activity/activity that you have to postpone? Continually 9 2.8 Economic 13 4.0 Social Environment 23 7.0 Personal Reasons 70 21.4 School Subject 217 66.4 Other 4 1.2	Gender	Male	146	44.6
Married 3 0.9	Age		327	20.35
Married 3 0.9	Marital Status	Single	324	99.1
High 7 2.1	Mariai Siaios	Married	3	0.9
High 7 2.1 1st Grade 71 21.7 2md Grade 104 31.8 3rd Grade 51 15.6 4th Grade 51 15.6 4th Grade 57 17.4 6th Grade 57 17.4 6th Grade 13 4.0 Do you have Daily/Weekly/ Monthly/Yearly Plans for your leisure? No 241 73.7 Do you have a regular Activity/Activity schedule for your leisure? No 268 82 Never 6 1.18 Rarely 64 19.6 Occasionally 153 46.8 What is the frequency of your work or activity/activity that you have to postpone? Economic 13 4.0 Social Environment 23 7.0 Personal Reasons 70 21.4 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		Low	94	28.7
1st Grade	Income Statue	Middle	226	69.1
2nd Grade 104 31.8 3rd Grade 51 15.6 4th Grade 31 9.5 5th Grade 57 17.4 6th Grade 13 4.0 Do you have Daily/Weekly/ Monthly/Yearly Plans for your leisure? No 241 73.7 Do you have a regular Activity/Activity schedule for your leisure? No 268 82 Never 6 1.18 Rarely 64 19.6 Occasionally 95 29.1 What is the frequency of your work or activity/activity that you have to postpone? Continually 9 2.8 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		High	7	2.1
3rd Grade 51 15.6 4th Grade 31 9.5 5th Grade 57 17.4 6th Grade 13 4.0 Do you have Daily/Weekly/ Monthly/Yearly Plans for your leisure? No 241 73.7 Do you have a regular Activity/Activity schedule for your leisure? No 268 82 Never 6 1.18 Rarely 64 19.6 Occasionally 95 29.1 What is the frequency of your work or activity/activity that you have to postpone? Continually 9 2.8 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		1 st Grade	71	21.7
Ath Grade 31 9.5	Gender Age Aarital Status Acome Statue Oo you have Daily/Weekly/ Aonthly/Yearly Plans for your eisure? Oo you have a regular activity/Activity schedule for your leisure? What is the frequency of your york or activity/activity/activity that you have to postpone?	2 nd Grade	104	31.8
## Grade 31 9.5 5th Grade 57 17.4 6th Grade 13 4.0 Do you have Daily/Weekly/ Monthly/Yearly Plans for your leisure?	Grade Level	3 rd Grade	51	15.6
Do you have Daily/Weekly/ Monthly/Yearly Plans for your leisure? No 241 73.7 Do you have a regular Activity/Activity schedule for your leisure? No 268 82 Never 6 1.18 Rarely 64 19.6 Occasionally 153 46.8 What is the frequency of your work or activity/activity that you have to postpone? Economic 13 4.0 Social Environment 23 7.0 Personal Reasons 70 21.4 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2	Olude Level	4 th Grade	31	9.5
Do you have Daily/Weekly/ Monthly/Yearly Plans for your leisure? No 241 73.7 Do you have a regular Yes 59 18 Activity/Activity schedule for your leisure? No 268 82 Never 6 1.18 Rarely 64 19.6 Occasionally 153 46.8 What is the frequency of your work or activity/activity that you have to postpone? Continually 95 29.1 Economic 13 4.0 Social Environment 23 7.0 Personal Reasons 70 21.4 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		5 th Grade	57	17.4
Monthly/Yearly Plans for your leisure? No 241 73.7 Do you have a regular Activity/Activity schedule for your leisure? Yes 59 18 No 268 82 Never 6 1.18 Rarely 64 19.6 Occasionally 153 46.8 What is the frequency of your work or activity/activity that you have to postpone? Usually 95 29.1 Continually 9 2.8 Economic 13 4.0 Social Environment 23 7.0 Personal Reasons 70 21.4 School Subject 217 66.4 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		6 th Grade	13	4.0
Do you have a regular Activity/Activity schedule for your leisure? No 268 82 Never 6 1.18 Rarely 64 19.6 Occasionally 153 46.8 What is the frequency of your work or activity/activity that you have to postpone? Economic 13 4.0 Social Environment 23 7.0 Personal Reasons 70 21.4 School Subject 217 66.4 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		Yes	86	26.3
Activity/Activity schedule for your leisure? No 268 82 Never 6 1.18 Rarely 64 19.6 Occasionally 153 46.8 What is the frequency of your work or activity/activity that you have to postpone? Continually 9 2.8 Economic 13 4.0 Social Environment 23 7.0 Personal Reasons 70 21.4 School Subject 217 66.4 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		No	241	73.7
No 268 82		Yes	59	18
Rarely 64 19.6 Occasionally 153 46.8 What is the frequency of your work or activity/activity that you have to postpone? Economic 13 4.0 Social Environment 23 7.0 Personal Reasons 70 21.4 School Subject 217 66.4 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		No	268	82
What is the frequency of your work or activity/activity that you have to postpone? Continually 95 29.1		Never	6	1.18
What is the frequency of your work or activity/activity that you have to postpone? Continually Economic 13 4.0 Social Environment 23 7.0 Personal Reasons 70 21.4 School Subject 217 66.4 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		Rarely	64	19.6
work or activity/activity that you have to postpone? Continually 9 2.8 Economic 13 4.0 Social Environment 23 7.0 Personal Reasons 70 21.4 School Subject 217 66.4 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		Occasionally	153	46.8
you have to postpone? Continually 9 2.8 Economic 13 4.0 Social Environment 23 7.0 Personal Reasons 70 21.4 School Subject 217 66.4 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		Usually	95	29.1
Social Environment 23 7.0 Personal Reasons 70 21.4 School Subject 217 66.4 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		Continually	9	2.8
Personal Reasons 70 21.4 School Subject 217 66.4 If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		Economic	13	4.0
If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? School Subject 217 66.4 Other 4 1.2		Social Environment	23	7.0
If you cannot find leisure, what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		Personal Reasons	70	21.4
what is the main reason? Other 4 1.2		School Subject	217	66.4
Total 327 100.0		Other	4	1.2
		Total	327	100.0

As seen in Table 1, the study group consisted of 327 participants; 55.4% were female students, and 44.6% were male students. It has been determined that the average age of the participants is 20.35%, 99.1% are single, 69.1% are middle-income, and 31.8% are second-grade students. 73.7% of the participants answered "No" to the question: "Do you have daily/weekly/monthly/yearly plans for your leisure time?" and 82% answered "No" to the question: "Do you have a typical activity/events program for your leisure time?" It was also determined that 46.8% of the students sometimes delay their work or activity/events, and 66.4% cannot find leisure time because of curriculum.

Table 2: Descriptive statistical results of medical students

	N	x	Ss	Min.	Max.
Goal Setting and Method	327	18.76	4.30	6.00	28.00
Leisure Attitude	327	12.46	2.21	3.00	15.00
Programming	327	9.25	2.45	3.00	15.00
Evaluation	327	8.35	2.26	3.00	14.00
Total Score	327	48.82	7.73	20.00	68.00

When Table 2 is examined, it is seen that the medical students participating in the study got the highest average from the "Goal Setting and Method" sub-dimension (18.76±4.30) and the lowest average from the "Evaluation" sub-dimension (8.35±2.26) in the leisure time management scale. It was determined that the total mean score of the responses to the leisure time management scale was 48.82±7.73.

Table 3: Evaluation of Leisure Management Skills of Medical Faculty Students According to Gender Variable

	Gender	N	x	Ss	so	ST	U	z	р
Goal Set-	М	146	18.64	4.62	163.53	23875.50			
ting and Method	F	181	18.86	4.04	164.38	29752.50	13144.5	081	.936
Leisure	М	146	12.51	2.23	167.03	24386.50	10770 F	F2.1	507
Attitude	F	181	12.43	2.21	161.56	29241.50	12770.5	531	.596
Program-	М	146	8.78	2.59	145.74	21278.50	10547.5	2 1 / 2	.002*
ming	F	181	9.64	2.28	178.73	32349.50	10347.3	-3.162	.002
Evalua-	М	146	8.49	2.40	171.20	24995.50	12161.5	-1.249	.212
tion	F	181	8.23	2.15	158.19	28632.50	12101.5	-1.24/	.212
Total Score	М	146	48.42	8.11	159.40	23272.50	12541.5	791	.429
	F	181	49.15	7.42	167.71	30355.50			

As a result of the Mann Whitney-U test conducted according to the gender variable of the participants, no statistically significant difference was found between the two groups in the sub-dimensions of the total score, goal setting and method, leisure time attitude and evaluation (p>0.05). There was statistically significant difference (p=0.002) on behalf of women between male and female students in the Programming sub-dimension as the Mann-Whitney-U test's result.

Table 4: Evaluation of Leisure Management Skills of Medical Faculty Students According to Age Variable

	Total Score	Goal Setting and Method	Leisure Attitude	Programming	Evaluation
n	327	327	327	327	327
r	083	099	.053	042	087
р	.132	.074	.340	.453	.116

According to the Spearman correlation test results, no significant difference was found in the total score of the Leisure Management Scale and its sub-dimensions concerning the age of the students (p>0.05).

Table 5: Evaluation of Leisure Management Skills of Medical Faculty Students According to Their Daily-Weekly-Monthly-Year Plan

		Ν	Χ		Ss	SO	ST	U	Z	р	
Goal Setting and Method	Yes	86	21.19		3.89	220.35	18950.50	5516.500	-6.461	.000**	
No	241	17.89		4.11	143.89	34677.50		3316.300	-0.401	.000	
Leisure Attitude	Yes	86	12.57		2.72	179.39	15427.50	0020 500	1.700	072	
No	241	12.43		2.01	158.51	38200.50		9039.500	-1.792	.073	
Programming	Yes	86	10.00		2.39	191.03	16428.50	0020 500	2.112	000**	
No	241	8.99		2.43	154.35	37199.50		8038.500	-3.113	.002**	
Evaluation	Yes	86	9.27		2.53	200.93	17280.00	7107.000	4040	000**	
No	241	8.02		2.07	150.82	36348.00		7187.000	-4.260	.000**	
Total Score	Yes		86	53.02	8.00		214.17	18418.50	(0.40.500	F 700	000**
	No	241	47.33	7.07		146.10	35209.50		6048.500	-5.738	.000**

 Table 6: Evaluation of Leisure Management Skills of Medical Faculty Students According to Having a Regular Activity Program for their Leisure

 Time

		Ν	X	Ss	SO	ST	U	Z	р	
Goal Setting and Method	Yes	59	21.19	3.89	235.05	13868.00	3714.000	-6.398	.000**	
Godi Sening and Memod	No	268	17.89	4.11	148.36	39760.00	3714.000			
Leisure Attitude	Yes	59	12.57	2.72	184.87	10907.50	6674.500	-1.909	.056	
Leisore Amirode	No	268	12.43	2.01	159.40	42720.50	66/4.500			
Dan managarin a	Yes	59	10.00	2.39	199.71	11783.00	5799.000	-3.231	.001*	
Programming	No	268	8.99	2.43	156.14	41845.00				
Evaluation	Yes	59	9.27	2.53	240.45	14186.50	3395.500 -6.	-6.927	.000**	
Evaluation	No	268	8.02	2.07	147.17	39441.50	3373.300 -6.727		.000	
Total Score										
	Yes	59	53.02	8.00	242.64	14316.00	3266.0	00	-7.065	.000**
No	0/0		7.00	7.07	147.70					
	268	47.33		7.07	146.69	39312.00				

According to the results of the Mann Whitney-U test on whether medical students had daily, weekly, monthly or annual plans: There was a statistically significant difference between the total score of students, goal setting and method, programming and evaluation sub-dimensions; Also, it has been determined that this difference is on behalf of the planned group (p<0.05). No significant difference was found in the leisure time attitude sub-dimension.

According to the results of the Mann Whitney-U test, which is based on whether medical students had a plan for their leisure time: There was a significant difference between the total score, goal setting and method, programming and evaluation sub-dimensions; Also, it was seen that this difference was significant on behalf of the group with a regular activity program for their leisure time (p<0.05). No significant difference was found in the leisure time attitude sub-dimension.

Discussion

The results of the study on the leisure time management skills of medical students, who are considered to be in need of good leisure time management, show that their leisure time management scores are at a moderate level, they do not have a regular schedule for leisure activities or events, and the reasons for not finding leisure is curriculum. These results show that medical students do not have enough knowledge about how to manage their leisure time effectively. Akyürek et al. (20) stated that university students achieved high scores in the study evaluating their leisure time management. Similarly, Demir & Alpullu (16) emphasized that the students of the faculty of sports

sciences had good levels of leisure management skills. It is thought that the different results obtained in the studies may be related to the fact that the medical students, who account for the sample of this study, have a tied-up curriculum and internship obligations compared to the students of other departments.

When the study results were evaluated with regards to gender variable, it was found that there was a significant difference in favor of female students in the total score and programming sub-dimension of the leisure management scale. According to this result, it is seen that female students are more planned and programmed for their leisure and have a more positive attitude towards leisure time management. In a study evaluating university students' leisure time management, it was found that there was a significant difference in favor of women in the programming subdimension (21). Küçükeşmen et al. (22) examined the leisure time management skills of associate degree students and stated that women had higher average scores than men in the programming sub-dimension of the leisure time management scale. These findings are consistent with our study results. However, there are also studies in the related literature on the subject that do not match our results. In the research carried out with university students by Er et al. (8), it was observed that men had a more positive attitude than women in the programming sub-dimension of the leisure time management scale. Similarly, Yıldırım (23) evaluated the leisure time management skills of health science students and stated that the average scores of men were higher than women in the programming sub-dimension. Besides, in the study conducted by Yaşartürk et al. (12) with the students of the recreation department, it was seen that statistically significant results could not be reached in terms of leisure time management and its sub-dimensions, according to the gender variable. Depending on individuals' status, time and socio-economic environment, the differentiation of their thoughts may lead to different results according to the demographic variables. Therefore, it can be said that there may be several factors that cause these diverse results in the gender variable.

No significant correlation was found in the total score and sub-dimensions of the leisure time management scale according to the age variable of medical students. Yıldırım (23) stated that there was no significant difference in the total score of the leisure time management scale and sub-dimensions according to the age variable of faculty of health sciences students. Similarly, in the study carried out with university students by Er et al. (8), no significant differences were found in the sub-dimensions of the leisure time management scale according to the age variable. These findings are consistent with our study results. However, there are also different results in the literature according to the age variable. Çakır (15) stated that the 17-20 age groups got high scores in the programming sub-dimension in his study in which he evaluated the leisure time management of the faculty of sports sciences students. Besides, Işıkgöz et al. (18) found that university students' leisure time management differs significantly in all sub-dimensions according to the age variable. Demir & Alpullu (16) indicate that there is a significant and positive relationship between increasing age in leisure time management and the programming dimension. Studies show that it cannot be generalized regarding the age variable in leisure time management. In this study, it is thought that the reason for not making a distinction according to the age variable may be due to the fact that medical faculty students have similar ages and developmental periods.

It has been determined that the vast majority of medical students does not make a regular plan for their leisure and do not have activity/event programs (Table 1). In addition, there were significant differences in the total score, goal setting and method, programming and evaluation sub-dimensions of the leisure time management scale according to the daily, weekly, monthly, and annual plan and a regular activity/event program for leisure time. It was seen that these differences are on behalf of students who have a typical plan and activity/event program for their leisure time. According to this result, it can be said that medical students who plan for their leisure and have a regular activity/event program are better at managing their leisure time. Goel et al. (14), in their study examining the physical activity levels of medical students in their leisure time, stated that the students had a low level of physical activity participation and spent their leisure time mostly with sedentary activities such as electronic devices and chatting. Similarly,

Akgül et al. (21) emphasized that university students' participation rate in physical activity is low, their leisure time management is poor, and therefore, students generally prefer indoor activities. The lack of a plan for the individuals' leisure time may cause problems such as boredom, an unhealthy and sedentary lifestyle and panic (24). Hickerson & Beggs (25) determined that students who do not have leisure time management skills are more likely to be bored in their leisure. It is conceivable to say that attendance in practical leisure activities is closely related to physical, social and mental health, and it is sufficient to deal with the problems that may occur (26). Supporting this idea, Akgül et al. (21) have stated that university students who can manage their leisure better participate in leisure activities more actively. Likewise, Yaşartürk et al. (12) determined that the recreation department students who regularly participated in leisure activities had better leisure management skills. The fact that medical students do not have a typical activity program in their leisure may be due to the fact that they have a more intensive curriculum than the other department students. However, it is thought that medical students can gain good leisure time management skills with a well-planned and well-organized busy activity program for leisure time.

Conclusion

Consequently, it has been specified that female students have more programmatic and better leisure time management skills than male students. Furthermore, it has been determined that medical students who plan daily, weekly, monthly, and annually and have a regular activity/event program for their leisure have better leisure time management skills. Also, it was observed that the leisure time management skills of medical students were moderate, their participation in physical activities was occasional, and the reason that most affected this participation was curriculum. Based on these results, medical students with an intensive curriculum should be guided by experts in the field so that they can act according to a regular plan and program, spend their leisure time effectively and productively, and provide training within the scope of the course at particular periods; Thus, it is thought that it will positively affect the leisure time management skills of medical students.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declare that there were no potential conflicts of interest with regard to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

Financial Disclosure

The authors did not receive any financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

References

1.Robinson J, Godbey G. Time for life: The surprising ways Americans use their time: Penn State Press; 2010.

2.Smith HW. Hayatı ve Zamanı Yönetmenin 10 Doğal Yasası, (Çeviren:

Aslı Cıngıl Celik). İstanbul: Rota Yayın Yapım Tanıtım. 1998.

3.Mobley TA. Community Recreation. By Harold D. Meyer, Charles K. Bright-bill, and H. Douglas Sessoms. Journal of Leisure Research. 1970;2(4):269-70.

4.Karaküçük S, Gürbüz B. Rekreasyon ve kentlileşme. 2007.

5.Demir C, Demir N. Bireylerin boş zaman faaliyetlerine katilmalarini etkileyen faktörler ile cinsiyet arasindaki ilişki: lisans öğrencilerine yönelik bir uygulama. Ege Academic Review. 2006;6(1):36-48.

6.Demirel M, Demirel DH, Serdar E. Constraints and perceived freedom levels in the leisure of university students. Journal of human sciences. 2017;14(1):789-95.

7.Akgül BM, Karaküçük S. Free time management scale: Validity and reliability analysis Boş zaman yönetimi ölçeği: Geçerlik-güvenirlik çalışması. Journal of Human Sciences. 2015;12(2):1867-80.

8.Er Y, Demirel M, Cuhadar A. Investigation of leisure management skills and leisure boredom in university students in terms of different variables. International Journal of Applied Exercise Physiology. 2020;9(6):49-54.

9.Adebayo FA. Time management and students academic performance in higher institutions, nigeria a case study of ekiti state. International Research in Education. 2015;3(2):1-12.

10.DURHAN TA, AKGÜL BM, KARAKÜÇÜK S. REKREATİF AMAÇLI YÜZME SPORUYLA UĞRAŞAN BİREYLERİN BOŞ ZAMAN YÖNETİMLERİ ÜZERİNE BİR DEĞERLENDİRME. Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi. 2017;19(4):8-14

11. Serdar E, Demirel DH, Demirel M, Çakır VO. The Relationship Between University Students' free Time Management And Academic Succes. The Online Journal of Recreation and Sports. 2017;6(4):72-82.

12.YAŞARTÜRK F, AKYÜZ H, KARATAŞ İ. Rekreasyon bölümü öğrencilerinin boş zaman yönetimi ile akademik başarılarını etkileyen örgütsel faktörler arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi. Spor Bilimleri Araştırmaları Dergisi. 2018;3(2):233-43.

13.Kulkarni M. Time management skills among medical students. Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development. 2020;11(6).

14.Goel MK, Roy P, Rasania SK, Bachani D. A cross-sectional study on leisure time management and physical activity index among female adolescent medical students in Delhi. Indian Journal of Youth and Adolescent Health. 2014;1:18-26.

15.ÇAKIR VO. Üniversite öğrencilerin serbest zaman doyum düzeyleri ile serbest zaman yönetimleri arasındaki ilişki. Gaziantep Üniversitesi Spor Bilimleri Dergisi. 2017;2(3):17-27.

16.DEMİR G, ALPULLU A. Üniversite Öğrencilerinin Boş Zaman Yönetiminin Değerlendirilmesi. Eurasian Research in Sport Science. 2020;5(1):94-102.

17.ÇEREZ MH, YERLİSU-LAPA T, TERCAN-KAAS E, GÜLŞEN DBA. Üniversite Öğrencilerinde Serbest Zaman Yönetimi, Serbest Zaman Egzersiz Katılımı ve Psikolojik İyi Oluş Arasındaki İlişkilerin İncelenmesi. Journal of Physical Education & Sports Science/Beden Egitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi. 2021;15(1).

18.IŞIKGÖZ ME, GÜRBÜZ PG, ESENTAŞ M. Üniversite Öğrencilerinin Boş Zaman Yönetimlerinin Çeşitli Değişkenler Açısından İncelenmesi. Uluslararası Güncel Eğitim Araştırmaları Dergisi. 2021;7(1):413-29.

19. Wang W-C, Kao C-H, Huan T-C, Wu C-C. Free time management contributes to better quality of life: A study of undergraduate students in Taiwan. Journal of Happiness Studies. 2011;12(4):561-73.

20.Akyürek G, Sinem K, Bumin G. Üniversite öğrencilerinin serbest zaman ile ilişkili tutum, yönetim ve memnuniyetlerinin incelenmesi. Gaziantep Üniversitesi Spor Bilimleri Dergisi. 2018;3(2):1-12.

21.Akgül BM, Yenel İF, Karaküçük S. Evaluating free time management and its relation to life satisfaction, most participated leisure activities and gender among college students in Turkey. Social Sciences and Humanities Journal. 2016;11(2):1-18.

22.Küçükeşmen E, Şimşek A, Türkoğlu ME. Önlisans Öğrencilerinin Sosyo-Demografik Özelliklerine Göre Boş Zaman Yönetimi. Süleyman

Demirel Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi. 2020(38):202-31.

23.Yıldırım TA. The Investigation of Free Time Management According to Faculty Of Health Sciences Students' Departments and Some Sociodemographic Variables. Acıbadem Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi. 2021;12(2):361-9.

24.Wang W-C, Kao C-H. An exploration of the relationships between free time management and the quality of life of wage-earners in Taiwan. World Leisure Journal. 2006;48(1):24-33.

25. Hickerson BD, Beggs BA. Leisure time boredom: Issues concerning college students. College Student Journal. 2007;41(4):1036-45.

26.Caldwell LL, Smith EA, Weissinger E. The relationship of leisure activities and perceived health of college students. Loisir et société/Society and Leisure. 1992;15(2):545-56.